# LSU Health Science Center

# LSU Health Digital Scholar

School of Graduate Studies Faculty Publications

School of Graduate Studies

8-30-2023

Association between acculturation, dental floss use, dental visits and unmet dental needs among Asians in the United States: Findings from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2018

Ting Luo Moores Cancer Center

Kaylin Beiter LSU Health Sciences Center - New Orleans, kbeite@lsuhsc.edu

Tung Sung Tseng LSU Health Sciences Center - New Orleans, ttseng@lsuhsc.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalscholar.lsuhsc.edu/sogs\_facpubs

🔮 Part of the Dental Hygiene Commons, and the Health Services Research Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Luo, Ting; Beiter, Kaylin; and Tseng, Tung Sung, "Association between acculturation, dental floss use, dental visits and unmet dental needs among Asians in the United States: Findings from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2018" (2023). *School of Graduate Studies Faculty Publications*. 148.

https://digitalscholar.lsuhsc.edu/sogs\_facpubs/148

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Graduate Studies at LSU Health Digital Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in School of Graduate Studies Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of LSU Health Digital Scholar. For more information, please contact DigitalScholar@lsuhsc.edu.



#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Association between acculturation, dental floss use, dental visits and unmet dental needs among Asians in the United States: Findings from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2018

Ting Luo<sup>1</sup> | Kaylin Beiter<sup>2,3</sup> | Tung-Sung Tseng<sup>3</sup>

Revised: 31 July 2023

<sup>1</sup>Moores Cancer Center, School of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA

<sup>3</sup>Behavioral and Community Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA

#### Correspondence

Ting Luo, 9500 Gilman Dr, La Jolla, CA 92093-0905, USA. Email: tóluo@health.ucsd.edu

Tung-Sung Tseng, Behavioral and Community Health Sciences, LSUHSC School of Public Health, 2020 Gravier Street, Room 213, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA. Email: ttseng@lsuhsc.edu

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** The objectives of this study were to examine the associations between acculturation and dental floss, regular dental visits and unmet dental care needs among Asian Americans, as well as the moderating effects of these associations.

**Methods:** This study analysed national representative samples from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2018. A total of 2763 Asian Americans aged 20 and older were included in this analysis. The primary predictor, acculturation score, was determined by three questions: (i) language spoken at home (higher score for English), (ii) country of birth (higher score for United States) and (iii) length of time in the United States. Dental floss use, dental visits and unmet dental care needs were included as outcomes in this study. Descriptive statistics and logistic regressions were used to analyse the samples.

**Results:** Acculturation was significantly associated with dental health behaviours: Individuals with higher levels of acculturation were more likely than less acculturated individuals to use dental floss (81.0% vs. 63.9%, respectively) and visit the dentist regularly (76.7% vs. 66.9% respectively). Insurance status moderated the association between acculturation and dental visits: Acculturation was significantly associated with dental visits in the past year among insured individuals (OR = 1.70, 95% CI: 1.29–2.23), but not among uninsured individuals. Unmet dental care needs were present in 11.1% of participants. While costs and insurance were the top two determinants of access to care, individuals with and without insurance differed with regard to their third major reason for unmet dental care needs: Being 'too busy' and not wanting to spend money on dental care.

**Conclusions:** Among the Asian population in the United States, those with high acculturation scores were more likely to engage in dental flossing and visit the dentist regularly compared to those Asians with lower acculturation scores. To encourage dental flossing and regular dental visits among Asians with lower acculturation scores, cultural adaptation and language accessibility suggests being considered. Future

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

research is necessary to confirm the moderating effect of insurance status on the association between acculturation and regular dental visits. Additionally, our findings emphasize the impact of costs and insurance on access to dental care among Asians in the United States, highlighting the importance of future public health programmes in addressing these barriers.

#### KEYWORDS

acculturation, Asian American, dental health services, nutrition survey, oral hygiene

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

In the United States, oral health issues are prevalent, with 40% of adults reporting oral pain in the past year,<sup>1</sup> 25% of adults aged 20– 64 having untreated cavities,<sup>2</sup> and 80% of people experiencing at least one cavity by the age of 34.<sup>1</sup> Regular dental visit and professional cleaning have been shown to significantly reduce the risk of periodontal diseases, dental caries, tooth loss and diabetes, and can even decrease the risk of cardiovascular diseases by 14%.<sup>3,4</sup> In addition to professional dental visits, dental flossing is a highly effective method for interdental cleaning.<sup>5</sup> It significantly improves the condition of proximal gingival, which in turn helps prevent periodontitis and tooth loss.<sup>5</sup> However, despite these known benefits, only 66.7% of adults reported visiting a dentist or dental clinic in the previous year,<sup>6</sup> and only 31.6% of United States adults floss daily.<sup>7</sup> This lack of dental care has led to a high prevalence of unmet dental needs, affecting up to 20% of the population, including 15% of the population with untreated cavities.<sup>8</sup> Individuals who do not receive regular dental care are three times more likely to have untreated cavities.<sup>8</sup> The repercussions of this neglect are substantial, with emergency dental care costs exceeding \$45 billion annually for adults.<sup>1</sup> Given the strong correlation between dental health and overall health and well-being, the importance of research in dental health is increasingly recognized.<sup>9</sup>

Asian Americans, the fastest growing demographic group in the United States, have seen their population nearly double in the last two decades and it is projected to surpass 46 million by 2060.<sup>10</sup> However, this group is usually reported poorer dental health and less frequent utilization of dental services than non-Hispanic Whites.<sup>11</sup> In particular, fewer Chinese have had a dental visit in the past year compared to non-Hispanic Whites (52.1% vs. 64.5% respectively).<sup>11,12</sup> Thus, studying the oral health behaviour of the growing Asian American population is curial to addressing these disparity.<sup>12-14</sup>

Acculturation is a dynamic process where individuals or groups from one culture gradually incorporate the customs, beliefs and values of another culture, while concurrently preserving their unique cultural heritage.<sup>15,16</sup> This process can influence oral health behaviour and dental service utilization due to varying perceptions of health, understanding healthcare system navigation and the resources available for the same.<sup>17-19</sup> Several measures are commonly used to gauge acculturation, including 13-item Rating Scale for Mexican Americans-II (ARSMA-II),<sup>20</sup> 12-item Marin Short Acculturation Scale<sup>21</sup> and some 1–3 items short measurements, such as English language proficiency level, length of stay in the United States and US citizenship.<sup>17,22,23</sup>

These individual acculturation factors have been studied to understand their impact on oral health outcomes and dental care access, but the findings have been inconsistent. For instance, one study used a 12-item scale to measure acculturation and found no association between acculturation and dental service use among older Korean Americans.<sup>24</sup> In contrast, another study found a significant association between behavioural acculturation and dental office visits, but not between psychological acculturation and such visits.<sup>25</sup> Moreover, while some studies have reported an association between English proficiency and dental care among Asian Americans,<sup>11,26</sup> others have found no significant correlation between English proficiency and the likelihood of scheduling dental appointments.<sup>17</sup> Similarly, the evidence is mixed when it comes to US citizenship as a factor associated with dental care access among this population.<sup>17,27</sup> In conclusion, the associations between acculturation and dental care among Asian-Americans remain unclear due to these inconsistent findings. The objectives of this study were to examine the associations between acculturation and dental floss. regular dental visits and unmet dental care needs among Asian Americans, as well as the moderating effects on these associations, using national representative samples from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011-2018.

#### 2 | METHODS

#### 2.1 | Study design and data source

This study used data from NHANES 2011–2018 cycles. The continuous NHANES is a complex, multistage probability sample of noninstitutionalized civilians in the United States that has been given every 2 years since 1999. During each survey iteration, a nationally representative sample of approximately 10000 people answer questions about their health, nutrition and behaviours. Some subgroups, such as Asian Americans, are oversampled to ensure precise health estimates and nutritional indicators of minority groups. From the 2011 to 2012 cycle, an Asian acculturation indicator (language spoken at home) was implemented. All NHANES activities were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics' Research Ethics Review Board, with participants giving informed consent. The resulting anonymized database is publicly accessible. From the 39156 NHANES participants interviewed and examined during the selected cycles, 4566 reported as Asian-Americans. Among them, 4055 participants completed the acculturation assessment, with 2922 were aged 20 or older. After excluding 159 participants with non-positive weights, the study encompassed data from 2763 participants.

#### 2.2 | Measures

The primary predictor, acculturation score, was determined by three questions: (1) language spoken at home, (2) country of birth and (3) length of time in the USA, as has been used in other studies.<sup>22,23</sup> The language spoken at home was scored from 0 to 2 (2=English only or pro-English, 1=both English and non-English equally, 0=non-English or pro-non-English). Country of birth and length of time in the USA were analysed collectively and divided into four categories, scored from 0 to 3: 3=US born; 2=foreign born and lived in the USA for >20 years; 1=foreign born and lived in the USA for 10-19 years; 0=foreign born and lived in the USA for <10 years. These scores were added together to generate a total acculturation score ranging from 0 (least acculturated) to 5 (most acculturated). For analyses where acculturation was considered a binary variable, scores  $\geq$ 3 were considered more acculturated (vs. less).

This study included three dental health outcomes: usage of dental floss use, frequency of dental visits and unmet dental care needs. As per the NHANES survey protocol, dental floss usage was defined through the question: 'How many days use dental floss/device in the past week?' This question aimed at adults aged 30 and older. The responses were classified into two categories: Oday usage was considered 'NO' and 1 or more days as 'YES'. The dental visits variable was determined according to two questions: 'When did you last visit a dentist?' and 'What was the main reason you last visited the dentist?' Respondents were grouped into regular and irregular dental visitors. Regular dental visits included those who visited a dentist within the past 12 months for routine care (a 'check-up', examination and/ or cleaning). All others were considered as irregular dental visitors. Unmet dental care needs were measured based on respondents' disclosure of needing but failing to access dental care within the past 12 months ('yes'-classified as having an unmet dental need). Among those who indicated having an unmet dental need, participants were further asked about their primary barriers to dental care, such as cost, lack of insurance, inconvenient office hours and other dentists' recommendation against care.

Sociodemographic covariates included gender (male vs. female), age (20–40 vs. >40), education (below college level vs. at least some college education), marital status (married, living with partner vs. widowed, divorced, separated and never married), poverty-to-income ratio (<1.5, 1.5–3.5, and >3.5), insurance status (Private, Medicare or Medicaid, Other insurance and No insurance) and smoking status (current, former and never smoker). The poverty-to-income ratio measures a family's economic situation relative to the poverty threshold. For example, a ratio of 1.5 (or 150%) indicate that

a family's income is one and a half times above poverty line. Families with incomes below 150% of the federal poverty level are generally considered as low income and may be eligible for certain government programmes such as Medicaid.<sup>28</sup>

#### 2.3 | Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to assess demographic differences. Univariate and multivariable logistic regressions were conducted to investigate the association between acculturation and oral health indicators. For logistic regression analysis, the poverty-to-income ratio was grouped to two categories (1.5 vs. ≥1.5), and insurance was grouped to two categories (insured vs. uninsured). The selected adjusted variables included gender, age, education level, povertyto-income ratio and insurance status. Stratifying analyses were also used to investigate the moderating effect of insurance status on the associations between dental health indicators and acculturation. Survey-related commands (e.g. PROC SURVEYLOGISTIC and SURVEYFREQ) were used to address complex sampling design and to adjust for the effect of the complex survey design. The primary sampling unit and stratum for each observation were considered.<sup>29</sup> We set the alpha level at 0.05 and generated 95% confidence intervals. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4.

## 3 | RESULTS

Table 1 shows sociodemographic and behavioural characteristics of 2763 Asian in the United States. Most participants were female (54.2%), over 40 years old (55.7%), held a college degree (72.6%) and were married or cohabitating (71.9%). About 22.2% reported poverty to income ratios below 1.5, 63.1% had private insurance and 8.8% were current smokers. Low acculturation (score <3) was reported by 63.1% participants. Most respondents used dental floss weekly (69.7%), had regular dental visits in the past year (71.0%) and 11.1% need dental care but could not get it last year.

Figure 1 shows percentage of dental floss use, regular dental visits and unmet dental care needs based on acculturation score. Acculturation scores were positively associated the percentage of individuals using dental floss: At an acculturation score of 0, only 52.0% of participants used dental floss; however, when the acculturation score reached 5, 86.7% of participants used dental floss. As for regular dental visits, it increased almost linearly from scores 0 to 3, but then dropped slightly at scores 4 and 5. Participants with an acculturation score of 0 reported a lower visit rate (55.4%) compared to those with scores of 3 (80.2%) and 5 (71.7%). The proportion of participants with unmet dental needs remains relatively constant across acculturation scores, ranging from 12.5% to 17.4%.

Table 2 shows the demographic characteristics of study participants by dental floss use, regular dental visits and unmet dental care needs. Dental floss use utilization was higher among those who

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics of study participants (N = 2763).

| Variables                                   | N (weight %) |
|---|--------------|
| Gender                                      |              |
| Male  | 1322 (45.8)  |
| Female                                      | 1441 (54.2)  |
| Age (years)                                 |              |
| 20-40                                       | 1088 (44.3)  |
| 40 or more                                  | 1675 (55.7)  |
| Adult education level                       |              |
| Below college                               | 792 (27.4)   |
| Somewhat college or above                   | 1971 (72.6)  |
| Marital status                              |              |
| Married, living with partner                | 2009 (71.9)  |
| Widowed, divorced, separated, never married | 753 (28.1)   |
| Poverty-to-income ratio                     |              |
| <1.5  | 565 (22.2)   |
| 1.5-3.5                                     | 735 (29.6)   |
| >3.5  | 1122 (48.1)  |
| Insurance status                            |              |
| Private                                     | 1688 (63.1)  |
| Medicare or Medicaid                        | 461 (16.2)   |
| Other insurance                             | 213 (7.6)    |
| No insurance                                | 389 (13.1)   |
| Smoking status                              |              |
| Current smoker                              | 247 (8.8)    |
| Former smoker                               | 417 (13.5)   |
| Never smoker                                | 2097 (77.7)  |
| Acculturation level                         |              |
| More acculturated (≥3)                      | 996 (36.9)   |
| Less acculturated (<3)                      | 1767 (63.1)  |
| Dental floss use                            |              |
| Yes   | 1573 (69.7)  |
| No  | 700 (30.3)   |
| Regular dental visits                       |              |
| Yes   | 1276 (71.0)  |
| No  | 521 (29.0)   |
| Unmet dental care needs                     |              |
| Yes   | 299 (11.1)   |
| Νο  | 2361 (88.9)  |

were more acculturated (81.0%, 95% CI: 78.0%–83.9%) compared to those who were less acculturated (63.9%, 95% CI: 60.6%–67.3%). Regular dental visits were also higher among more acculturated respondents (76.7%, 95% CI: 73.9%–79.4%) than less acculturated ones (66.9%, 95% CI: 62.8%–71.0%). The proportion of respondents with unmet dental care needs were similar for both acculturated groups (10.2% vs. 11.7% respectively). Heath insurance status significantly

influenced all three variables. Insured participants were more likely than uninsured participants to use dental floss (71.5% vs. 56.7% respectively), visit a dentist in the past year (74.7% vs. 41.2% respectively) and obtain dental care when needed (23.7% vs. 9.3% respectively). Similarly, income ratio was also significantly impacted dental floss use, regular dental visits and unmet dental care needs, with higher income group consistently reporting better outcomes than the lower income group. Gender was significantly associated with dental floss use; marital status was significantly associated with regular dental visits; and education level was significantly associated with dental floss use and unmet dental care needs. Age and smoking status were not associated with any of these variables of interest.

Table 3 shows the association between acculturation and dental floss use. More acculturated individuals were roughly twice as likely as less acculturated individuals to use dental floss/device in the crude model (OR=2.41, 95% CI: 1.92-3.01) and in the adjusted model (OR=1.98, 95% CI: 1.50-2.62). In addition, females, higher educated, higher income and insured Asian Americans reported higher dental floss use than their counterparts. After stratifying by insurance, more acculturated respondents were about twice as likely as the less acculturated respondents to use dental floss with insurance (OR=1.90, 95% CI=1.41-2.56); among those without insurance, the more acculturated were about three times as likely as the less acculturated (OR = 3.17, 95% CI = 1.49-6.73). Next, acculturation was assessed with regard to accessing dental care. Individuals of Asian descent with higher acculturation scores were more likely to report dentist visits last year in both the crude (OR=1.63, 95% CI: 1.30-2.04) and adjusted models (OR=1.41, 95% CI: 1.09-1.84), compared to those with lower acculturation scores. Asian Americans over 40, women, those with higher incomes and those with insurance were significantly more likely to report dental visits in the past 12 months than their counterparts. A moderate effect of insurance status was found on the association between acculturation and dental visits. After stratifying by health insurance status, acculturation was significantly associated with dental visits in the past year among Asian Americans who had insurance (OR=1.70, 95% CI: 1.29-2.23), but not among those without insurance. Finally, acculturation was assessed with regard to unmet dental care needs. No associations were identified in the crude model, adjusted model nor in the models stratified by health insurance status. Income ratio and insurance status, however, were significantly associated with unmet dental care needs.

To further comprehend the impact of insurance on unmet dental care needs among Asians in the United States, the findings in Table 4 presents the reasons behind these unmet needs, categorized by insurance status. Overall, 11.1% of Asian Americans had unmet dental care needs. The prevalence of unmet dental care needs was twice as likely among uninsured Asian Americans than insured. The top two reasons for uninsured and insured Asian Americans were similar: 'Could not afford the cost' and 'Insurance did not cover procedures'. The third main reason among insured Asian Americans was 'too busy', whereas the third reason among uninsured Asian Americans was that they 'did not want to spend money'.



FIGURE 1 Distribution of dental floss, regular dental visits and unmet dental care needs among Asian individuals, categorized by acculturation level (NHANES, 2011–2018).

# 4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, individuals of Asian descent with higher acculturation score were more likely to use dental floss and have had a dental visit in the past year. This is in accordance with findings of a previous systematic review which also demonstrated that immigrant and ethnic minorities higher levels of acculturation reported better dental knowledge and oral health behaviours such as flossing, dental services utilization.<sup>18</sup> The study extends these trends to Asian population specifically.

In particular, dental floss use and regular dental visits were positively associated with acculturation, while having unmet dental care needs was not related to acculturation. Heath insurance status was significantly linked to dental floss usage, regular dental visit, unmet dental care needs and insurance status moderated the association between acculturation and dental visits. Unmet dental care needs were largely driven by costs and insurance coverage for care; however, many respondents reported being too busy to access dental care. The effects of acculturation level on dental floss use, regular dental visits and unmet dental care needs are discussed below.

In the United States, 68% US adults over the age 30 floss regularly,<sup>7</sup> versus just 20% of Chinese adults<sup>30</sup> and 16% of Korean<sup>31</sup> adults and 11% of adults in India.<sup>32</sup> Considering flossing is more common among US adults than among most Asian adults, it can be speculated that more acculturated individuals may adopt the habit of flossing from their local friends. Those with higher English fluency and longer residency in the United States are more likely to socialize, potentially adopting health behaviour from native Americans. This suggests that the assimilation of dental flossing habits from American culture could contribute to improved dental health among acculturated Asians. However, this interpretation relies on the presumption that friendships and the bidirectional sharing of health behaviours naturally occur over time. Therefore, to promote dental flossing behaviour and regular dental visits among Asians, it is necessary to consider cultural adaptation and language accessibility. Additionally, CareQuest indicates that Asians experience a higher level of discrimination in accessing oral healthcare than their white counterparts (49% vs. 33% respectively).<sup>33</sup> Thus, alongside promoting cultural adaptation and language accessibility, efforts should also be directed towards addressing these disparities in order to ensure equitable oral healthcare access for Asians.

Several additional variables beyond acculturation were associated with accessing dental care. For example, it was found that insurance status was strongly associated with dental visits; this is in line with previous reports on this topic.<sup>18,34</sup> After stratifying insurance status in our study, acculturation was still significantly associated with regular dental visits among insured participants, but not among uninsured participants. This finding reveals a significant moderating effect of insurance status on the association between acculturation and dental visits, a connection previously not well understood. It appears that having dental insurance is a necessary prerequisite for accessing care among all groups, given the high cost of such care otherwise. Gender, age, education and income level were all not associated with dental visits among uninsured participants. Again, it is TABLE 2 Demographic characteristics and smoking status of study participants by dental floss use, regular dental visit and unmet dental care needs.

|  | Dental floss use |                  | Regular dental visit |                  | Unmet dental care needs |                  |
|--|------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
|  | N                | % (95% CI)       | N                    | % (95% CI)       | N                       | % (95% CI)       |
| Acculturation level                            |                  |                  |                      |                  |                         |                  |
| More acculturated                              | 765              | 81.0 (78.0-83.9) | 744                  | 76.7 (73.9–79.4) | 992                     | 10.2 (7.9–12.5)  |
| Less acculturated                              | 1508             | 63.9 (60.6-67.3) | 1053                 | 66.9 (62.8-71.0) | 1668                    | 11.7 (9.9–13.5)  |
| Gender   |                  |                  |                      |                  |                         |                  |
| Male   | 1067             | 65.3 (61.7-68.9) | 870                  | 67.5 (64.0-71.1) | 1267                    | 9.9 (7.5–12.2)   |
| Female   | 1206             | 73.4 (70.6–76.1) | 927                  | 74.0 (70.8–77.2) | 1393                    | 12.2 (10.4–13.9) |
| Age (years)                                    |                  |                  |                      |                  |                         |                  |
| 19-40  | 599              | 69.8 (64.9–74.6) | 743                  | 64.2 (60.4-68.0) | 1031                    | 10.3 (7.8–12.7)  |
| 40 or more                                     | 1674             | 69.7 (67.2-72.2) | 1054                 | 76.8 (73.7–79.8) | 1629                    | 11.8 (10.1–13.5) |
| Adult education level                          |                  |                  |                      |                  |                         |                  |
| Below college                                  | 715              | 59.1 (54.6-63.6) | 410                  | 64.9 (58.8-70.9) | 746                     | 16.5 (14.0-19.0) |
| Somewhat college or above                      | 1558             | 74.3 (71.5–77.1) | 1387                 | 72.7 (69.8–75.7) | 1914                    | 9.1 (7.4–10.9)   |
| Marital status                                 |                  |                  |                      |                  |                         |                  |
| Married, living with partner                   | 1849             | 69.7 (67.1–72.4) | 1331                 | 73.3 (70.1–76.6) | 1933                    | 10.7 (9.0–12.5)  |
| Widowed, divorced,<br>separated, never married | 423              | 69.9 (65.6-74.1) | 466                  | 64.5 (60.7-68.3) | 726                     | 12.1 (9.5–14.7)  |
| Poverty-to-income ratio                        |                  |                  |                      |                  |                         |                  |
| <1.5   | 446              | 57.3 (51.8-62.8) | 263                  | 58.8 (53.5-64.2) | 533                     | 19.4 (15.9–22.9) |
| ≥1.5   | 1542             | 73.9 (71.3–76.5) | 1324                 | 73.7 (70.7–76.7) | 1805                    | 8.5 (6.9–10.2)   |
| Insurance status                               |                  |                  |                      |                  |                         |                  |
| Yes  | 1985             | 71.5 (68.7–74.3) | 1586                 | 74.7 (72.0–77.3) | 2297                    | 9.3 (7.8–10.8)   |
| No   | 286              | 56.7 (49.1-64.4) | 209                  | 41.2 (34.5-47.8) | 360                     | 23.7 (19.3–28.2) |
| Smoking status                                 |                  |                  |                      |                  |                         |                  |
| Current smoker                                 | 189              | 62.9 (55.2–70.6) | 135                  | 59.4 (51.4-67.5) | 242                     | 17.3 (11.4–23.3) |
| Former smoker                                  | 385              | 68.6 (63.4–73.7) | 249                  | 69.2 (62.8-75.6) | 404                     | 11.0 (7.9–14.0)  |
| Never smoker                                   | 1698             | 70.7 (67.8-73.6) | 1411                 | 72.3 (69.2-75.4) | 2012                    | 10.4 (8.9-12.0)  |

likely that lack of insurance constitutes a significant barrier to care with a stronger impact than any other demographic factor. However, once this barrier is removed (i.e. among all insured individuals), acculturation remains important.

In the United States, Medicaid provides low cost or even free dental coverage for eligible individuals, but the eligibility for Medicaid is largely based on income, and the extent of dental benefits can vary significantly from state to state.<sup>35</sup> Thus, lack of health insurance cannot be exclusively associated with socioeconomic status. We had hypothesized that acculturation would remain a significant predictor of dental care access among the uninsured, as lower acculturation levels may hinder individuals' ability to obtain insurance or find free care clinics due to limited English language and health literacy. In general, uninsured individuals tend to have lower health literacy than insured individuals, possibly making cultural origin less significant as a factor. According to the results from the 2007 California Health Interview Survey, among uninsured individuals only 15.9% have adequate health literacy.<sup>36</sup> The study also found that those with low literacy were more likely than those with adequate literacy to be employed full-time, but less likely than to be offered employerbased health insurance, suggesting that low health literacy might be a major risk factor for lacking health insurance.<sup>36</sup> Health literacy has been shown to be a strong predictor of health behaviour and of health outcomes.<sup>37-39</sup> For instance, a study on Somali refugees in Massachusetts found that subjects with higher health literacy were much more likely to have had a preventive dental care visit within the past year.<sup>40</sup> Conversely, it is possible that individuals without oral health concerns may not prioritize dental health insurance, and hence might be less inclined to visit a dentist, regardless of their acculturation levels. In summary, the interaction between acculturation and insurance status remains an important topic, especially in understanding why acculturation does not predict dental visit behaviour among uninsured Americans. More longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm this effect.

In addition, the study found that acculturation is not associated with having unmet dental care needs. The high cost of dental TABLE 3 Associations between acculturation and dental floss use, regular dental visit and unmet dental care needs.

|   | Dental floss use     |                       | Regular dental visits |                  | Unmet dental care needs               |                  |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
|   | SI R                 | MIR                   | SI R                  | MIR              | SI R                                  | MIR              |
| (1) Association between acculturat        | ion and dental be    | alth indicators amon  | all study partie      |                  | JER                                   |                  |
| (1) Association between acculturat        | ION and dental ne    |                       | ig all study partic   | Lipunts          |                                       |                  |
| More versus Less<br>acculturated          | 2.41 (1.92-<br>3.01) | 1.98 (1.50-2.62)      | 1.63 (1.30-<br>2.04)  | 1.41 (1.09–1.84) | 0.86 (0.66-1.11)                      | 1.02 (0.70-1.48) |
| Gender                                    | ,                    |                       | ,                     |                  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |                  |
| Female versus Male                        |                      | 1.54 (1.25–1.88)      |                       | 1.34 (1.08–1.67) |                                       | 1.35 (1.05–1.73) |
| Age (years)                               |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| 40+ versus 19-40                          |                      | 1.05 (0.82–1.35)      |                       | 1.80 (1.47-2.22) |                                       | 1.13 (0.82–1.57) |
| Education                                 |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| Somewhat college+ versus<br>Below college |                      | 1.48 (1.18–1.86)      |                       | 1.28 (0.91–1.79) |                                       | 0.74 (0.52–1.05) |
| Poverty-to-income ratio                   |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| <1.5 versus ≥1.5                          |                      | 1.49 (1.17–1.90)      |                       | 1.52 (1.11-2.09) |                                       | 0.51 (0.38-0.69) |
| Insurance status                          |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| Yes versus No                             |                      | 1.51 (1.00-2.27)      |                       | 3.15 (2.33-4.26) |                                       | 0.39 (0.29-0.51) |
| (2) Association between acculturat        | ion and dental he    | ealth indicators amor | ng insured partici    | ipants           |                                       |                  |
| Acculturation                             |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| More versus Less<br>acculturated          | 2.26 (1.76–<br>2.89) | 1.90 (1.41-2.56)      | 1.70 (1.29–<br>2.23)  | 1.51 (1.12–2.03) | 0.84<br>(0.62–1.16)                   | 1.00 (0.66-1.52) |
| Gender                                    |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| Female versus Male                        |                      | 1.60 (1.27–2.02)      |                       | 1.31 (1.02–1.67) |                                       | 1.46 (1.11–1.92) |
| Age                                       |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| 40+ versus 19-40                          |                      | 1.14 (0.86–1.52)      |                       | 1.83 (1.46–2.28) |                                       | 1.15 (0.84–1.57) |
| Education                                 |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| Somewhat college+ versus<br>Below college |                      | 1.43 (1.09–1.88)      |                       | 1.23 (0.83–1.82) |                                       | 0.69 (0.46-1.02) |
| Poverty-to-income ratio                   |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| <1.5 versus ≥1.5                          |                      | 1.45 (1.07–1.96)      |                       | 1.57 (1.09–2.27) |                                       | 0.52 (0.37–0.73) |
| (3) Association between acculturat        | ion and dental he    | ealth indicators amor | ng uninsured part     | ticipants        |                                       |                  |
| Acculturation                             |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| More versus Less<br>acculturated          | 3.13 (1.76–<br>5.57) | 3.17 (1.49–6.73)      | 0.87 (0.45–<br>1.68)  | 0.86 (0.43-1.70) | 1.28<br>(0.83–1.98)                   | 1.15 (0.65–2.03) |
| Gender                                    |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| Female versus Male                        |                      | 1.24 (0.78–1.99)      |                       | 1.57 (0.88–2.79) |                                       | 1.04 (0.69–1.58) |
| Age (years)                               |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| 40+ versus 19-40                          |                      | 0.68 (0.38–1.20)      |                       | 1.52 (0.88–2.62) |                                       | 1.14 (0.62–2.12) |
| Education                                 |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| Somewhat college+ versus<br>Below college |                      | 1.97 (1.31–2.98)      |                       | 1.52 (0.78–2.94) |                                       | 0.94 (0.60-1.48) |
| Poverty-to-income ratio                   |                      |                       |                       |                  |                                       |                  |
| <1.5 versus ≥1.5                          |                      | 1.89 (0.87-4.12)      |                       | 1.30 (0.67–2.52) |                                       | 0.52 (0.31-0.86) |

Abbreviations: MLR, multiple logistic regression; SLR, simple logistic regression.

services appears to exert a stronger influence on dental care utilization than acculturation. This findings aligns with previous research that has highlighted costs as a major predictor of access to care.<sup>8</sup> Within the study, the two primary reasons Asians cited for not receiving dental care were 'inability to afford the cost' and 'insurance not covering procedures'. The consistent reporting of insurance not covering needed procedures, even among individuals with health insurance, is unfortunate but predictable. For example,

Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology -WILEY TABLE 4The reasons for unmet dental care needs among Asiansin the United States by insurance status.

|  | With insurance | Without<br>insurance |
|--|----------------|----------------------|
| Could not afford the cost                  | 103 (36.8%)    | 74 (58.7%)           |
| Insurance did not cover<br>procedures      | 51 (18.2%)     | 13 (10.3%)           |
| Did not want to spend the money            | 17 (6.1%)      | 9 (7.1%)             |
| Dental office is too far away              | 7 (2.5%)       | 2 (1.6%)             |
| Office does not open at<br>convenient time | 11 (3.9%)      | 2 (1.6%)             |
| Afraid or do not like dentists             | 6 (2.1%)       | 3 (2.4%)             |
| Unable to take time off from work          | 16 (5.7%)      | 5 (4%)               |
| Too busy                                   | 32 (11.4%)     | 5 (4%)               |
| Expected dental problems to go away        | 14 (5%)        | 5 (4%)               |
| Other reason could not get dental care     | 23 (8.2%)      | 8 (6.3%)             |
| Total                                      | 280 (100%)     | 126 (100%)           |
|  |                |                      |

Note: An individual could choose more than one reason.

adults insured through Medicaid are not automatically enrolled in dental benefits, and depending on their state of residence, may not be eligible for these benefits.<sup>41</sup> Interestingly, the third-most common reason insured individuals did not receive needed dental health interventions was because they were 'too busy', while uninsured individuals reported that they 'did not wish to spend money on dental care'. Employment often provides access to health and dental insurance, suggesting that uninsured individuals may lack access to care due to not having full-time work with benefits. However, once they obtain a job that provide these benefits, they might struggle to take time off for needed care. Hence, it is suggested that there might need to be a wider cultural shift in the American workforce to potentially encourage and enable employees to utilize paid time off for their healthcare needs.

#### 4.1 | Limitations and strengths

This study has serval limitations. Firstly, as NHANES data are collected in cross-sectional iterations, this limits the potential to examine changes over time. Secondly, the lack of classification for Asians based on their country of origin neglects the rich diversity and heterogeneity in oral health behaviours among Asian ethic subgroups. This means that these results should be cautiously applied to individuals or specific subcultures. Thirdly, certain undocumented individuals might have been inadvertently excluded due to fear of disclosing their status. Another point of consideration is that questions about dental floss usage were only posed to participants aged 30 and above. Furthermore, medical insurance was often used as a proxy when information on dental health insurance was not specifically available. Lastly, a noteworthy limitation pertains to the dichotomization of several variables for analysis.<sup>42</sup> Although this practice, common in oral epidemiology, simplifies interpretation, it also has drawbacks.<sup>42</sup> Specifically, dichotomization can lead to loss of critical information and potentially underestimates the variation between groups.

Despite the aforementioned limitations, it contributes to the literature by providing national-scale evidence regarding oral health behaviours, dental services utilization and unmet dental care needs among Asian Americans. A key consideration is that our acculturation scale incorporated three factors, offering a potentially more accurate measure than scales using fewer items. To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have applied this acculturation scale in assessing dental care and unmet needs among US-based Asians. A significant finding was the moderating effect of insurance status on the association between acculturation and dental visits among Asians, which provides an exploratory baseline on this topic and highlight the importance of further research in this area.

# 5 | CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

This study showed more acculturated Asians in the United States were more likely than their less acculturated counterparts to use dental floss and visit dentist regularly. In order to encourage dental flossing and regular dental visits among less acculturated Asian American, it is necessary to consider cultural adaptation and ensure language accessibility. This study also found a significant interaction between insurance status and the relationship between acculturation and dental visits among Asian Americans. However, future research is necessary to confirm this moderating effect. Additionally, the findings emphasize the significant influence of costs and insurance on access to dental care among Asians in the United States, highlighting the importance of future public health programmes in addressing these barriers.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Ting Luo: Conceptualization, data preparation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, software, validation, visualization, resources, software, supervision, validation, writing original draft, and writing review and editing. Kaylin Beiter: Conceptualization, investigation, methodology, validation, and writing review and editing. Tung-Sung Tseng: Conceptualization, investigation, methodology, validation, visualization, resources, software, supervision, validation, and writing review and editing.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in NHANES at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm.

#### STUDY REGISTRATION

As a secondary analysis of existing public survey data, this study was not formally registered.

#### ANALYTIC PLAN PRE-REGISTRATION

As a secondary analysis of existing survey data, the analysis plan was not formally pre-registered.

### ANALYTIC CODE AVAILABILITY

Analytic code used to conduct the analyses presented in this study are not publicly available. The code used for this study was developed by the study team, and may be accessed by emailing the corresponding author.

#### MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Materials used to conduct the study are publicly available.

#### ORCID

#### Ting Luo 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9353-3671

#### REFERENCES

- CDC. Oral Health Conditions. Updated April 6, 2022. Accessed December 6, 2022. https://www.cdc.gov/oralhealth/conditions/ index.html
- 2. Lin M, Griffin SO, Gooch BF, et al. Oral health surveillance report: trends in dental caries and sealants, tooth retention, and edentulism, United States: 1999–2004 to 2011–2016. 2019.
- Park S-Y, Kim S-H, Kang S-H, et al. Improved oral hygiene care attenuates the cardiovascular risk of oral health disease: a populationbased study from Korea. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40(14):1138-1145.
- Lee PH, McGrath CP, Kong AY, Lam TH. Self-report poor oral health and chronic diseases: the Hong Kong FAMILY project. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2013;41(5):451-458.
- Biesbrock A, Corby PM, Bartizek R, et al. Assessment of treatment responses to dental flossing in twins. J Periodontol. 2006;77(8):1386-1391.
- Foundation AsHRUH. Dental Visit. Accessed December 11, 2022. https://www.americashealthrankings.org/explore/annual/measu re/dental/state/ALL
- Fleming EB, Nguyen D, Afful J, Carroll MD, Woods PD. Prevalence of daily flossing among adults by selected risk factors for periodontal disease–United States, 2011–2014. J Periodontol. 2018;89(8):933-939.
- Malecki K, Wisk LE, Walsh M, McWilliams C, Eggers S, Olson M. Oral health equity and unmet dental care needs in a populationbased sample: findings from the Survey of the Health of Wisconsin. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(S3):S466-S474.
- 9. Hung M, Moffat R, Gill G, et al. Oral health as a gateway to overall health and well-being: surveillance of the geriatric population in the United States. *Spec Care Dentist*. 2019;39(4):354-361.
- Budiman A, Ruiz NG. Key Facts About Asian Americans, A Diverse and Growing Population. Pew Reseach Center; 2021. Accessed November 29, 2022. https://www.pewresearch.org/facttank/2021/04/29/key-facts-about-asian-americans/
- 11. Shelley D, Russell S, Parikh NS, Fahs M. Ethnic disparities in selfreported oral health status and access to care among older adults in NYC. *J Urban Health*. 2011;88(4):651-662.
- Wu B, Liang J, Landerman L, Plassman B. Trends of edentulism among middle-aged and older Asian Americans. Am J Public Health. 2013;103(9):e76-e82.

- Wu B, Pei Y, Zhang W, Northridge M. Immigrant status, resilience, and perceived oral health among Chinese Americans in Hawaii. *Res Aging*. 2020;42(5–6):186-195.
- Cruz GD, Chen Y, Salazar CR, Karloopia R, LeGeros RZ. Determinants of oral health care utilization among diverse groups of immigrants in New York City. J Am Dent Assoc. 2010;141(7):871-878.
- 15. Berry J. Acculturation. The Blackwell Encyclopedia of Sociology. Blackwell; 2007:1-7.
- 16. Cabassa LJ. Measuring acculturation: where we are and where we need to go. *Hispanic J Behav Sci*. 2003;25(2):127-146.
- 17. Luo H, Wu B. Acculturation and dental service use among Asian immigrants in the US. *Am J Prev Med.* 2016;51(6):939-946.
- Dahlan R, Badri P, Saltaji H, Amin M. Impact of acculturation on oral health among immigrants and ethnic minorities: a systematic review. *PloS One*. 2019;14(2):e0212891.
- 19. Tiwari T, Albino J. Acculturation and pediatric minority oral health interventions. *Dent Clin.* 2017;61(3):549-563.
- Cuellar I, Arnold B, Maldonado R. Acculturation rating scale for Mexican Americans-II: a revision of the original ARSMA scale. *Hispanic J Behav Sci.* 1995;17(3):275-304.
- Marin G, Sabogal F, Marin BV, Otero-Sabogal R, Perez-Stable EJ. Development of a short acculturation scale for Hispanics. *Hispanic J Behav Sci.* 1987;9(2):183-205.
- 22. Kandula NR, Diez-Roux AV, Chan C, et al. Association of acculturation levels and prevalence of diabetes in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(8):1621-1628. doi:10.2337/dc07-2182
- Yoshida Y, Scribner R, Chen L, Broyles S, Phillippi S, Tseng T-S. Diet quality and its relationship with central obesity among Mexican Americans: findings from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2012. *Public Health Nutr.* 2017;20(7):1193-1202.
- Jang Y, Yoon H, Rhee MK, Park NS, Chiriboga DA, Kim MT. Factors associated with dental service use of older Korean Americans. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2019;47(4):340-345.
- Maupome G, McConnell WR, Perry BL, Marino R, Wright ER. Psychological and behavioral acculturation in a social network of Mexican Americans in the United States and use of dental services. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2016;44(6):540-548.
- Akresh IR. Health service utilization among immigrants to the United States. *Popul Res Policy Rev.* 2009;28(6):795-815.
- Mohanty SA, Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU, Pati S, Carrasquillo O, Bor DH. Health care expenditures of immigrants in the United States: a nationally representative analysis. *Am J Public Health*. 2005;95(8):1431-1438.
- HealthCareGov. Medicaid expansion & what it means for you. Accessed December 21, 2022. https://www.healthcare.gov/medic aid-chip/medicaid-expansion-and-you/
- 29. NHANES. NHANES Tutorials. Accessed December 11, 2022. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/tutorials/default.aspx
- Sun HY, Jiang H, Du MQ, et al. The prevalence and associated factors of periodontal disease among 35 to 44-year-old Chinese adults in the 4th National Oral Health Survey. *Chin J Dent Res.* 2018;21(4):241-247.
- Lee K, Kim J. Dairy food consumption is inversely associated with the prevalence of periodontal disease in Korean adults. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(5):1035.
- 32. Sachan S, Singh S, Pandey S. The prevalence of self-reported halitosis and oral hygiene practices in urban and peri-urban population in Lucknow City (UP), India. *Children*. 2008;1:2.
- CareQuest. New Report: 77 Million Adults Do Not Have Dental Insurance. CareQuest Institute. 2023 Accessed January 26, 2023. https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20220622005664/ en/New-Report-77-Million-Adults-Do-Not-Have-Dental-Insur ance

Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology-WILEY-

# WILEY-Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology

- 34. Jaramillo F, Eke PI, Thornton-Evans GO, Griffin SO. Acculturation and dental visits among Hispanic adults. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2009;6(2):A50.
- 35. Medicaid. Dental Care. Medicaid.gov. Accessed June 13, 2023, https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/benefits/dental-care/index. html
- Sentell T. Implications for reform: survey of California adults suggests low health literacy predicts likelihood of being uninsured. *Health Aff.* 2012;31(5):1039-1048.
- Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, Halpern DJ, Crotty K. Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011;155(2):97-107.
- Berkman ND, Davis TC, McCormack L. Health literacy: what is it? J Health Commun. 2010;15(S2):9-19.
- 39. Baskaradoss JK. Relationship between oral health literacy and oral health status. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18(1):1-6.
- 40. Geltman PL, Hunter Adams J, Penrose KL, et al. Health literacy, acculturation, and the use of preventive oral health care by

Somali refugees living in Massachusetts. J Immigr Minor Health. 2014;16(4):622-630.

- HHS. Does Medicaid cover dental care? Accessed January 26, 2023. https://www.hhs.gov/answers/medicare-and-medicaid/ does-medicaid-cover-dental-care/index.html
- 42. Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. *BMJ*. 2006;332(7549):1080.

How to cite this article: Luo T, Beiter K, Tseng T-S. Association between acculturation, dental floss use, dental visits and unmet dental needs among Asians in the United States: Findings from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2018. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2023;00:1-10. doi:10.1111/cdoe.12906