LSU Health Science Center LSU Health Digital Scholar

School of Public Health Faculty Publications

School of Public Health

2-16-2023

Quitting smoking after a cancer diagnosis is associated with highrisk neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio among tobacco use-related cancer survivors

You Lu Xavier University of Louisiana

Katherine Kwong Connecticut College

James Wells Tulane University School of Medicine

Andrea Edwards Xavier University of Louisiana

Zhong Chen Xavier University of Louisiana Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalscholar.lsuhsc.edu/soph_facpubs

Part of the Behavior and Behavior Mechanisms Commons, Cells Commons, Hemic and Immune See next page for additional authors Systems Commons, Mental Disorders Commons, Neoplasms Commons, Oncology Commons, and the

Public Health Commons

Recommended Citation

Lu, You; Kwong, Katherine; Wells, James; Edwards, Andrea; Chen, Zhong; Tseng, Tung-Sung; and Zhang, Kun, "Quitting smoking after a cancer diagnosis is associated with high-risk neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio among tobacco use-related cancer survivors" (2023). *School of Public Health Faculty Publications*. 75. https://digitalscholar.lsuhsc.edu/soph_facpubs/75

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Public Health at LSU Health Digital Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in School of Public Health Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of LSU Health Digital Scholar. For more information, please contact jlloyd@lsuhsc.edu, dtrill@lsuhsc.edu, rholle@lsuhsc.edu.

Authors

You Lu, Katherine Kwong, James Wells, Andrea Edwards, Zhong Chen, Tung-Sung Tseng, and Kun Zhang

This article is available at LSU Health Digital Scholar: https://digitalscholar.lsuhsc.edu/soph_facpubs/75

scientific reports



OPEN Quitting smoking after a cancer diagnosis is associated with high-risk neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio among tobacco use-related cancer survivors

You Lu¹, Katherine Kwong², James Wells³, Andrea Edwards¹, Zhong Chen¹, Tung-Sung Tseng¹/₂⁴ & Kun Zhang¹/₅

Ouitting smoking could potentially minimize the risk of a high neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) among tobacco use-related (TUR) cancer survivors. A total of 1263 TUR cancer survivors aged 20 to 85 years old were investigated using data from the National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey 1999–2018. The primary outcome was the NLR, which was defined as having two levels: highrisk (\geq 3) and low-risk (< 3). The association between smoking cessation time and a high-risk NLR level was analyzed using weighted logistic regression models. Overall, the current smoking rate of TUR cancer survivors was found to be 21.7%. Older age (75 years above), gender and respiratory-related cancers are covariables associated with high risk of NLR levels for individual who identified as Non-Hispanic White (NHW). Non-Hispanic Black (NHB) (n = 27) who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis were associated with the highest risk of a high NLR (OR 4.83, 95% CI 1.40–16.61, p = 0.01) compared to NHB nonsmokers (n = 139). These findings suggest that the risk of a high NLR level is strongly associated with the time of smoking cessation in NHB TUR cancer survivors. As a result, NHB TUR cancer survivors should quit smoking as soon as possible because the benefits of quitting smoking were observed over the 5 year period following smoking cessation.

Smoking cessation is an effective way to increase the overall survival (OS) rate and reduce disease-specific mortality in cancer survivors. It is an interventional approach that has been extensively advocated for in previous studies^{1,2}. For individuals who were diagnosed with tobacco use-related (TUR) cancer, such as lung, bladder, kidney, colon, etc.^{3,4}, the disease-free period and cancer recurrence rate were sensitive to their smoking cessation time. A previous study examined the association between smoking status after diagnosis and survival of 206 nonsmall cell lung cancer patients and found that individuals who quit smoking after their cancer diagnosis maintained a better performance status at six and twelve months compared to individuals who continued to smoke⁵. The association between continuing to smoke after a cancer diagnosis and both an increased cancer recurrence rate and potential cancer progression has been consistently reported from other TUR cancer studies⁶. Shiels, MS, et al., compared the association between the risk of developing a second TUR cancer and cigarette smoking before first cancer diagnosis by pooling data from five cohort studies (conducted between 1994 and 2001), which included 2552 stage I lung cancer patients, 6386 bladder cancer patients, 3179 kidney cancer patients, and 2967 head/neck cancer patients. They found that, for the survivors of these selected cancers that smoked, currently smoking more than 20 cigarettes per day was associated with an increased risk of a second TUR cancer

¹Department of Physics and Computer Science, Xavier University of Louisiana, New Orleans, LA, USA. ²Department of Human Development, Connecticut College, New London, CT, USA. ³Department of Physiology, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, USA. ⁴Behavioral and Community Health Science, School of Public Health, Louisiana State University Health Science Center, 2020 Gravier Street, Room 213, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA. ⁵Bioniformatics Core of Xavier NIH RCMI Center of Cancer Research, Xavier University of Louisiana, 1 Drexel Drive, 540 NCF Annex, New Orleans, LA 70125, USA. [⊠]email: ttseng@lsuhsc.edu; kzhang@xula.edu

for stage I lung (hazard ratio [HR] = 3.26), bladder (HR = 3.67), kidney (HR = 5.33), and head/neck (HR = 4.45) cancer survivors compared with non-smokers⁷. In addition, one study focused on 2933 colon cancer survivors and found that the disease-specific (HR = 1.30) and the all-cause mortality (HR = 1.51) rates were significantly higher if the colon cancer survivors that continued smoking compared with never-smokers⁸.

Another study found continued tobacco smoking after a cancer diagnosis was associated with adverse outcomes among 868 cancer survivors from the Measuring Your Health study, a community-based survey cohort of cancer patients who registered across four Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results cancer registries⁹. This study observed that, within a year of diagnosis, individuals who were diagnosed with a TUR cancer were three times more likely to quit smoking compared to patients with non-TUR cancers. Additionally, a recently published cohort study investigated over 1124 patients with newly diagnosed non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) between 2010 and 2016. For these cancer survivors who were defined as current smoker, 23% of them quit smoking within three months of diagnosis. In this study, however, the observed association between a lower risk of mortality and smoking cessation after NSCLC diagnosis was not statistically significant¹⁰.

As an independent inflammation biomarker, the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) level is considered a prognostic factor that is influenced by smoking cessation in various cancer prevention and cancer management programs^{11,12}. Typically, individuals who continued smoking after a diagnosis of TUR cancer would have high-risk NLR levels, further indicating a worse OS rate. For instance, bladder cancer survivors with NLR levels over 2.5 who continued smoking after a cancer diagnosis were associated with a cancer recurrence rate 2.2 times higher than former smokers who ceased smoking before a cancer diagnosis¹³. However, other risk factors, such as race, chronic disease status, etc., should also be considered when comparing different smoking cessation times with the high-risk NLR levels in TUR cancer survivors. Non-Hispanic Black (NHB) patients usually have lower mean neutrophil counts, but similar lymphocyte numbers compared to Non-Hispanic White (NHW) patients^{14,15}. This racial difference in immune cell composition indicates that using multiple cut-off values for NLR is recommended when estimating health-related outcomes from a cohort consisting of multiple racial groups¹⁶. Additionally, individuals with multiple chronic diseases, e.g. diabetes and arthritis, have higher NLR levels than disease-free individuals¹⁷.

Cancer diagnosis offer a flexible time for implementation tobacco-related smoking cessation intervention, however patients with cancer diagnosis are less motivated to quit cigarette smoking or engage with treatments¹⁸. Barriers for quit smoking after cancer diagnosis including nicotine addition, lacking self-efficacy, social supports, and etc. have been widely explored^{19–21}. Still how high-risk of NLR levels associated with quit smoking at the certain time point after cancer diagnosed remain unclear.

The purpose of this study is to first, the association between different smoking quitting times before and after a cancer diagnosis and the risk of high NLR levels among tobacco use-related cancer survivors will be explored. Secondary, a logistic regression model to examine the effects of selected covariables in terms of high-risk of NLR levels will be developed. In addition, how racial disparity affects the level of NLR will be examined.

Methods

Study design. We combined and extracted ten consecutive 2-year survey cycle datasets (1999–2018) from the US Centers for Disease Control NHANES dataset for this study. Based on the determination of tobacco userelated cancers from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)³ and findings from a study that was conducted by the US National Cancer Institute⁴, we conducted a secondary data analysis on fourteen tobacco use-related cancer types, including: bladder, kidney, colon, esophagus, mouth, larynx, stomach, liver, lung, pancreatic, rectal, prostate, and cervical cancer, and lymphoma/Hodgkin's disease. Adult cancer survivors between the ages of 20 and 85 years old with a completed response to the questions on smoking patterns and a recorded NLR level were included in this analysis. Tobacco use data was collected from all survey participants at 20 years of age and older, with a subset of questions during the Mobile Examination Center (MEC) interview. A multistage, stratified, probability-cluster sampling method was used during the NHANES data collection, under the supervision of the National Center for Health Statistics of the CDC22. An in-person, face-to-face interview was conducted for qualified participants at their homes by trained staff. Individual demographic and health-related information was collected via examination. The collected blood and urine samples from the participants were sent to laboratories for further analysis²². The survey protocol was revised and approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board²³. A paper-based informed consent form was signed by every participant before the data collection process was initiated.

Measurements. We used two NHANES questions to extract data on tobacco use-related cancers: "Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had cancer or a malignancy of any kind?" and "What kind of cancer was it?" Participants with TUR cancer types were identified based on their primary cancer diagnosis. Participants with more than one cancer type were excluded from this analysis. For all individuals diagnosed with TUR cancer, their smoking status was divided into nonsmoker (NS), former smoker one (FS1), former smoker two (FS2), former smoker three (FS3), and current smoker (CS) based on the following questions: "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?" and "Do you now smoke cigarettes?" A NS was defined as a respondent who reported that they smoked < 100 cigarettes in their lifetime; CS was defined as respondents who reported smoking ≥ 100 cigarettes in their entire lifetime and were currently smoking every day or some days²⁴. We defined FS1 as participants who quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis; FS2 was defined as participants who quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis²⁵; and FS3 was defined as participants who quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis, was used in analyzing when individuals had quit smoking. The demographic information of included participants

included age (<45, 45–54, 55–64, 65–74, 75–85), race/ethnicity (NHW, NHB), gender, and education (less than high school [<High School], and high school and above [≥High School]). Individuals without chronic bronchitis, coronary heart disease, or diabetes were considered chronic disease-free (CD free). In addition, Body-Mass-Index (BMI) was classified as underweight/normal for those with a BMI < 25, and overweight/obese for those with a BMI ≥ 25²⁶. Fourteen cancer types were stratified as either respiratory cancers (RCs) (lung and larynx) or non-respiratory cancers (NRCs) (bladder, kidney, colon, esophagus, mouth, stomach, liver, pancreatic, rectal, prostate, and cervical cancer, and lymphoma/Hodgkin's disease). According to the cancer diagnosis timeline, six levels of Year After a Cancer Diagnosis (YACD) were defined as 0, <1, 1.00-1.99, 2.00-4.99, 5.00-9.99, ≥ 10.00.

Ethics. The procedures to obtain human data have been performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All methods were carried out in this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Xavier University of Louisiana. The study used publicly available datasets that meet the federal regulation at 46 CFR 46.102.

Outcomes. To calculate the NLR level, the neutrophil number (1000 cell/µL) and the lymphocyte number (1000 cell/µL) were used from complete blood count measurements, which were obtained from the NHANES laboratory datasets²⁷. NLR is defined as the total number of neutrophils divided by the total lymphocyte number. For cancer survivors, a high NLR level is associated with a poor OS rate. In this study, the mean NLR was 2.62, and, for our analysis, we defined a high-risk NLR level as NLR \geq 3, and a low-risk NLR level was defined as NLR < 3^{12,28}.

Statistical analysis. Participants' demographic, smoking behavior, and clinical features were summarized using descriptive statistics based on the different NLR levels (low and high). The association of these factors with the NLR level was tested using the Rao-Scott Chi-square test for categorical variables and Fisher's exact test for small samples. A one-way ANOVA test was applied to examine differences for continuous variables. All analyses were performed in R (svydesign) using the "survey" package²⁹ to create the weighted analysis groups for displaying percentages (%) (svyciprop) and means with standard error of the mean (svymean). Survey-weighted univariable logistic regression models (svyglm) were applied to examine the association between smoking status and risk of high NLR level in cancer survivors. We included the suggested covariables: age, gender, race, BMI, CD free status, cancer type, education level, and YACD to construct the multivariable logistic regression model^{30–38}. All variables had no multicollinearity in the model. We followed the weighting instructions provided on the CDC website³⁹. All analyses were conducted using R version 3.6.3 with the "survey" and "dplyr" packages. All tests were 2-sided, and a *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests.

Results

A total of 1263 cancer survivors between the ages of 20 and 85 years old were included from NHANES 1999–2018. Overall, 35.5% of participants were nonsmoker (smoking < 100 cigarette in their lifetime); 27.5% of participants quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis; 6.1% of participants quit smoking less than 5 years before TUR cancer diagnosis; 9.2% of participants quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis, and the current smoking rate among cancer survivors was 21.7%. Our study found 28.2% of cancer survivors (n = 382) had high-risk NLR levels. Table 1 provides weighted percentages and raw sample sizes for demographics and smoking status by high-risk (\geq 3) and low-risk (<3) NLR level. Cancer survivors in the low-risk NLR group had a longer mean years of survival after a cancer diagnosis compared to individuals in the high-risk group (11.2 ± 0.4, 9.1 ± 0.5, p < 0.01); additionally, the low-risk NLR group had a younger mean age compared to the high-risk NLR group (67.7 ± 0.8, 60.2 ± 0.7, p < 0.01). NLR across the high-risk and low-risk groups was distributed differently in terms of smoking status. Race, gender, age, CD free status, and cancer type were statistically significant factors and associated with the varied distribution of NLR level in the studied population. Cancer survivors with a lower risk of high NLR levels were found in the NHW, female, age \geq 45, CD free, and NRC groups compared to their counterparts.

No statistical difference in mean NLR levels was observed across the five smoking statuses (p = 0.29, Table 2). The average age of current smoker (49.6 ± 1.2) was younger than nonsmoker (65.6 ± 0.9), former smoker who quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis (70.0 ± 0.8), former smoker who quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis (63.1 ± 2.2), and former smoker who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis (63.1 ± 2.0) (p < 0.04). Of note, the elderly between the ages of 75 and 85 years old were the least likely to be current smoker (4.9%) when compared to other age groups. For individuals who continued smoking, most of them were female (66.4%). Individuals who continued smoking had the lowest overweight or obese rate compared with nonsmoker, those that quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis, those that quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis, and those that quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis (55.0% vs.72.2%, 78.1%, 65.4%, and 69.1%). In addition, the current smoker group contained the highest ratio of individuals with less than a high school level education (24.2%). For individuals who quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis, most were identified as non-respiratory cancer patients (93.8%). For individuals who quit smoking less than 5 years of before a cancer diagnosis, over one-third of them were respiratory cancer survivors (31.7%). This percent of respiratory cancer survivors reduced to 11.9% for individuals who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis and reduced even further to 5.6% for individuals that were current smoker. Interestingly, individuals who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis had the largest proportion of survivors who had a longer cancer diagnosis time (\geq 10 years) compared to nonsmoker, those that quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis, those that quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis, and current smoker (65.3%, 39.4%, 26.0%, 29.4%, and 48.4%).

Characteristics	Overall	NLR<3	NLR≥3	<i>p</i> value
Total, n (%)	1263 (100)	881 (71.8)	382 (28.2)	
Mean NLR (± SEM)	2.62 (±0.04)	1.93 (±0.02)	4.39 (±0.09)	
Mean Age (±SEM), year	62.3 (±0.6)	60.2 (±0.7)	67.7 (±0.8)	$< 0.01^{\dagger}$
Mean YACD (±SEM), year	10.6 (±0.3)	11.2 (±0.4)	9.1 (±0.5)	< 0.01 [†]
Smoking Status, n (%)				< 0.01ª
NS	457 (35.5)	337 (74.3)	120 (25.7)	
FS1	372 (27.5)	243 (64.9)	129 (35.1)	
FS2	83 (6.1)	47 (59.0)	36 (41.0)	
FS3	115 (9.2)	75 (71.3)	40 (28.7)	
CS	236 (21.7)	179 (80.5)	57 (19.5)	
Race, n (%)				< 0.01 ^a
NHW	915 (88.7)	601 (70.7)	314 (29.3)	
NHB	348 (11.3)	280 (80.9)	68 (19.1)	
Gender, n (%)				< 0.01 ^a
Male	828 (54.8)	541 (65.2)	287 (34.8)	
Female	435 (45.2)	340 (79.9)	95 (20.1)	
Age, n (%)				< 0.01 ^a
<45	144 (17.1)	122 (85.5)	22 (14.5)	
45-54	99 (12.4)	78 (78.1)	21 (21.9)	
55-64	200 (17.5)	161 (82.4)	39 (17.6)	
65-74	318 (24.0)	218 (66.7)	100 (33.3)	
75–85	502 (28.9)	302 (58.9)	200 (41.1)	
CD Free, n (%)				0.01 ^a
Yes	800 (65.4)	574 (74.6)	226 (25.4)	
No	463 (34.6)	307 (66.6)	156 (33.4)	
BMI, n (%)				0.07 ^a
Under/Normal	398 (30.6)	260 (67.4)	138 (32.6)	
Overweigh/Obese	865 (69.4)	621(73.8)	244 (26.2)	
Education Level, n (%)				0.89 ^a
<high school<="" td=""><td>318 (18.0)</td><td>212 (28.5)</td><td>106 (71.5)</td><td></td></high>	318 (18.0)	212 (28.5)	106 (71.5)	
≥ High School	945 (82.0)	669 (28.1)	276 (71.9)	
Cancer Types, n (%)				< 0.01 ^a
RCs	78 (6.7)	40 (53.7)	38 (46.3)	
NRCs	1185 (93.3)	841 (73.1)	344 (26.9)	
YACD, n (%)				0.12 ^a
0.00-1.99	195 (14.9)	125 (63.4)	70 (36.6)	
2.00-4.99	337 (24.6)	239 (72.6)	98 (27.4)	
5.00-9.99	281 (21.1)	203 (70.9)	78 (29.1)	
≥10.00	450 (39.4)	314 (75.0)	136 (25.0)	

Table 1. Characteristics of 1263 cancer survivors with different NLR risks using NHANES 1999–2018 Data. [†]One-way ANOVA test found mean age is distributed differently between low-risk and high-risk NLR groups. ^aRao-Scott Chi-square test. *SEM* standard error of the mean. *NLR* Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. *CD* Chronic diseases. *BMI* Body mass index. *NHANES* National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *NHW* Non-Hispanic White. *NHB* Non-Hispanic Black. *NS* Nonsmoker. *FS1* Quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis. *FS2* Quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis. *FS3* quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis. *CS* continued smoking after a cancer diagnosis. *RCs* Respiratory cancers. *NRCs* Non-respiratory cancers. *YACD* Years after a cancer diagnosis.

cancers. mod rears after a cancer diagnos

The weighted logistic regression model for cancer survivors with a high-risk NLR level was computed and the results can be found in Table 3. In the unadjusted analysis, smoking status, race, gender, age, CD free status, cancer type, and YACD were independent variables that contributed to NLR level. After adjusting for covariate variables, we found female cancer survivors had lower odds of high-risk NLR compared to males (OR 0.63, CI 0.42–0.94, p = 0.02). Individuals who were diagnosed with non-respiratory cancers had lower odds of high-risk NLR compared to respiratory cancer survivors (OR 0.49, CI 0.27–0.88. p = 0.01). Individuals 75 years of age or older had higher odds of high-risk NLR compared to cancer survivors who are younger than 45 years of age (OR 2.81, CI 1.36–5.79, p < 0.01). No significant association between smoking status and the risk of high NLR levels in TUR cancer survivors was observed. NHB survivors had lower odds of high-risk NLR compared to NHW survivors (OR 0.56, CI 0.38–0.82, p < 0.01). We observed race interacts with smoking status and affects

Characteristic	NS	FS1	FS2	FS3	CS	<i>p</i> value
Mean NLR (±SEM)	2.55 (±0.07)	2.83 (±0.11)	3.18 (±0.24)	2.69 (±0.14)	2.34 (±0.10)	0.29†
Mean Age (± SEM), year	65.6 (±0.9)	70.0 (±0.8)	63.1 (±2.2)	63.1 (±2.0)	49.6 (±1.2)	$< 0.04^{\dagger}$
Mean YACD (±SEM), year	10.3 (±0.6)	7.5 (±0.4)	9.6 (±1.1)	17.7 (±1.3)	11.5 (±0.7)	0.85 [†]
Race, n(%)						0.12
NHW	318 (87.1)	287 (91.7)	59 (86.6)	88 (88.8)	163 (88.0)	
NHB	139 (12.9)	85 (8.3)	24 (13.4)	27 (11.2)	73 (12.0)	
Gender, n(%)						< 0.01 ^a
Male	286 (51.9)	311 (78.1)	58 (61.3)	71 (41.8)	102 (33.6)	
Female	171 (48.1)	61 (21.9)	25 (38.7)	44 (58.2)	134 (66.4)	
Age, n(%)						< 0.01 ^b
<45	45 (16.1)	8 (4.6)	6 (13.0)	8 (9.7)	77 (39.0)	
45-54	29 (10.4)	8 (5.1)	10 (14.6)	13 (20.0)	39 (21.1)	
55-64	74 (19.1)	40 (13.9)	12 (13.9)	19 (22.8)	55 (18.3)	
65-74	101 (19.3)	120 (34.8)	28 (33.6)	23 (20.6)	46 (16.8)	
75–85	208 (35.1)	196 (41.6)	27 (24.9)	52 (27.0)	19 (4.9)	
CD Free, n(%)						0.08 ^a
Yes	314 (72.2)	219 (61.0)	45 (59.9)	70 (58.8)	152 (63.9)	
No	143 (27.8)	153 (39.0)	38 (40.1)	45 (41.2)	84 (36.1)	
BMI, n(%)						< 0.01 ^b
Under/Normal	134 (27.8)	85 (21.9)	34 (34.6)	40 (30.9)	105 (45.0)	
Overweigh/Obese	323 (72.2)	287 (78.1)	49 (65.4)	75 (69.1)	131 (55.0)	
Education Level, n(%)						< 0.01 ^b
<high school<="" td=""><td>97 (13.2)</td><td>99 (19.5)</td><td>16 (11.2)</td><td>31 (22.0)</td><td>75 (24.2)</td><td></td></high>	97 (13.2)	99 (19.5)	16 (11.2)	31 (22.0)	75 (24.2)	
≥ High School	360 (86.8)	273 (80.5)	67 (88.8)	84 (78.0)	161 (75.8)	
Cancer Types, n(%)						< 0.01 ^b
RCs	7 (2.1)	26 (6.2)	22 (31.7)	10 (11.9)	13 (5.6)	
NRCs	450 (97.9)	346 (93.8)	61 (68.3)	105 (88.1)	223 (94.4)	
YACD, n(%)						< 0.01 ^b
0.00~1.99	72 (15.8)	72 (19.3)	17 (14.6)	6 (6.5)	28 (11.4)	
2.00~4.99	122 (24.6)	116 (30.3)	14 (21.5)	23 (13.0)	62 (23.1)	
5.00~9.99	105 (20.2)	92 (24.4)	30 (34.6)	15 (15.2)	39 (17.1)	
≥10.00	158 (39.4)	92 (26.0)	22 (29.4)	71 (65.3)	107 (48.4)	

Table 2. Factors associated with smoking status in cancer survivors. [†]One-way ANOVA test found mean age is distributed differently between low-risk and high-risk NLR groups. ^aRao-Scott Chi-square test. ^bFisher's exact test. *SEM* Standard error of the mean. *NLR* Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. *CD* Chronic diseases. *BMI* Body mass index. *NHW* Non-Hispanic White. *NHB* Non-Hispanic Black. *NS* Nonsmoker. *FS1* Quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis. *FS2* Quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis. *FS3* quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis. *CS* Continued smoking after a cancer diagnosis. *RCs* Respiratory cancers. *NRCs* Non-respiratory cancers. *YACD* Years after a cancer diagnosis.

the risk of NLR level only in individuals who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis (p = 0.03) and current smoker (p = 0.01). Further stratified analysis of the smoking statuses associated with high-risk NLR levels regarding NWB and NHW cancer survivors is needed.

We selected gender, age, CD free status, BMI, education level, cancer type, and YACD as control covariables for model construction to further explore the racial disparity of NLR risk between NHW and NHB in terms of different smoking statuses (Table 4). After adjusting for all the covariables: NHB individuals who quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis, individuals who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis, and individuals who continued smoking after a cancer diagnosis were associated with higher odds of high-risk NLR compared to nonsmoker (OR 3.39, 4.83, and 2.84, CIs 1.00–10.45, 1.40–16.66, and 1.11–7.24, p = 0.03, 0.01, and 0.03, respectively). NHB survivors who were diagnosed with non-respiratory cancers were associated with lower odds of high-risk NLR, compared to NHB respiratory cancer survivors (OR 0.23, CI 0.07–0.74, p = 0.01). For NHW cancer survivors, females had lower odds of high-risk NLR, compared to males (OR 0.62, CI 0.41–0.96, p = 0.03). Cancer survivors who identified as NHW age 75 years and above had higher odds of high-risk NLR compared with those younger than 45 years of age (OR 2.83, CI 1.30–6.17, p < 0.01). NHW survivors who suffered from chronic diseases had higher odds of high-risk NLR compared to CD free individuals (OR 1.45, CI 1.00–2.10, p = 0.05).

Characteristic	Unadjusted OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value	Adjusted OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value		
Smoking status								
NS (ref)	1			1				
FS1	1.56	1.02-2.37	0.03	1.16	0.74-1.81	0.51		
FS2	2.00	1.13-3.55	0.01	1.53	0.82-2.89	0.18		
FS3	1.16	0.66-2.04	0.59	1.16	0.66-2.04	0.58		
CS	0.69	0.42-1.15	0.16	0.95	0.51-1.77	0.86		
Race	Race							
NHW (ref)	1			1				
NHB	0.56	0.41-0.79	< 0.01	0.56	0.38-0.82	< 0.01		
Gender			1			1		
Male (ref)	1			1				
Female	0.47	0.32-0.67	< 0.01	0.63	0.42-0.94	0.02		
Age	-1			1				
<45 (ref)	1			1				
45-54	1.65	0.66-4.12	0.27	1.48	0.57-3.84	0.41		
55-64	1.25	0.57-2.72	0.55	1.04	0.46-2.36	0.92		
65-74	2.94	1.48-5.83	< 0.01	1.92	0.91-4.05	0.08		
75-85	4.11	2.21-7.65	< 0.01	2.81	1.36-5.79	< 0.01		
CD free	-1			1				
Yes (ref)	1			1				
No	1.47	1.06-2.03	0.01	1.34	0.95-1.89	0.08		
BMI								
Under/normal (ref)	1			1				
Overweight/obese	0.73	0.52-1.03	0.07	0.74	0.50-1.08	0.11		
Education level								
<high (ref)<="" school="" td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td></high>	1			1				
≥High school	0.97	0.70-1.36	0.89	1.05	0.74-1.50	0.77		
Cancer types								
RCs (ref)	1			1				
NRCs	0.36	0.21-0.60	< 0.01	0.49	0.27-0.88	0.01		
YACD								
0.00~1.99 (ref)	1			1				
2.00~4.99	0.65	0.41-1.04	0.07	0.67	0.41-1.08	0.09		
5.00~9.99	0.71	0.39-1.29	0.26	0.73	0.39-1.38	0.34		
≥10.00	0.58	0.35-0.96	0.03	0.65	0.37-1.10	0.11		

Table 3. Factors associated with a high-risk NLR level (NLR \ge 3). The interaction term (race and smoking status) had statistical significance on subgroups: FS3 (p = 0.03) and CS (p = 0.01). NLR Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. CD Chronic diseases. BMI Body mass index. NHW Non-Hispanic White. NHB Non-Hispanic Black. NS Nonsmoker. FS1 Quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis. FS2 Quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis. CS Continued smoking after a cancer diagnosis. RCs Respiratory cancers. NRCs Non-respiratory cancers. YACD Years after a cancer diagnosis. OR Odds ratio. CI Confidence interval.

Discussion

High-risk NLR level is positively correlated with continued cigarette smoking after a cancer diagnosis. This has been consistently reported from clinical epidemiological studies that focused on advocating for smoking cessation for TUR cancers. However, most of these studies exclusively focus on NLR risk level with one cancer type, such as lung⁵ and bladder⁶, or they fail to identify the exact timing of smoking cessation in their statistical models¹². To explore the hidden association between different smoking cessation times and the risk of increased NLR levels in TUR cancer survivors, we constructed multivariable regression models by utilizing the accumulated NHANES datasets. By setting a fixed cut-off value for NLR level at three, we found the percentage of high-risk NLR in our sample population is 28.2%. This is higher than the percentage with high-risk NLR levels (18.5%) in the general adult population (age \geq 20 years of age) in the United States when setting the NLR cut-off value as three⁴⁰. Although it is not clear what the hazard ratio between low- and high-risk NLR levels for tobacco use-related cancer survivors in our study is, the observed 1.43 hazard ratio for all-cause mortality when an adult has an NLR level $\geq 3^{40}$ suggests that further survival analysis is strongly recommended when corresponding mortality data becomes available.

	NHB		NHW			
Characteristic	Adjusted OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value	Adjusted OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
Smoking status	-	1				
NS (ref)	1			1		
FS1	1.55	0.67-3.60	0.28	1.13	0.71-1.81	0.59
FS2	3.39	1.00-10.45	0.03	1.46	0.72-2.96	0.28
FS3	4.83	1.40-16.66	0.01	0.99	0.54-1.82	0.98
CS	2.84	1.11-7.24	0.03	0.83	0.41-1.69	0.62
Gender	•				4	
Male (ref)	1			1		
Female	0.59	0.26-1.33	0.20	0.62	0.41-0.96	0.03
Age	-			-	1	
<45 (ref)	1			1		
45-54	1.01	0.14-7.20	0.98	1.57	0.54-4.51	0.39
55-64	1.41	0.25-7.76	0.68	1.03	0.41-2.57	0.94
65-74	1.76	0.30-10.24	0.51	1.96	0.87-4.42	0.09
75-85	3.94	0.63-24.65	0.13	2.83	1.30-6.17	< 0.01
CD Free	•					
Yes (ref)	1			1		
No	0.64	0.35-1.20	0.16	1.45	1.00-2.10	0.05
BMI	•					
Under/normal (ref)	1			1		
Overweight/obese	1.69	0.86-3.34	0.12	0.68	0.45-1.03	0.07
Education Level			•			
<high (ref)<="" school="" td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td></high>	1			1		
≥High school	0.98	0.52-1.53	0.67	1.06	0.71-1.59	0.74
Cancer types						
RCs (ref)	1			1		
NRCs	0.23	0.07-0.74	0.01	0.52	0.26-1.01	0.06
YACD	•		*		÷	
0.00~1.99 (ref)	1			1		
2.00~4.99	1.22	0.52-2.87	0.63	0.62	0.36-1.06	0.08
5.00~9.99	0.51	0.16-1.61	0.24	0.78	0.38-1.61	0.51
≥10.00	0.54	0.17-1.73	0.29	0.65	0.36-1.17	0.15

Table 4. Factors associated with a high-risk NLR across different racial groups. *NLR* Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio. *CD* Chronic diseases. *BMI* Body mass index. *NHW* Non-Hispanic White. *NHB* Nonhispanic black. *NS* Nonsmoker. *FS1* Quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis. *FS2* Quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis. *FS3* Quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis. *CS* Continued smoking after a cancer diagnosis. *RCs* Respiratory cancers. *NRCs* Non-respiratory cancers. *YACD* Years after a cancer diagnosis. *OR* Odds ratio. *CI* Confidence interval.

In our study, cancer survivors in the high-risk NLR group (67.7 ± 0.8 years old) were older than the low-risk NLR group (60.2 ± 0.7 years old). This finding is similar to a previous study that examined the association between NLR level and smoking status among 351 adult male prostate cancer survivors with the NHANES 2005–2016 dataset. The mean age of prostate cancer survivors in the high-risk NLR group (≥ 3) is older (73.5 vs. 69.6 years old)¹². Initially, the individuals who quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis had the highest percent of high-risk NLR level (41.0%), followed by individuals who quit smoking 5 or more years after a cancer diagnosis (35.1%), individuals who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis (28.7%), and finally current smoker (19.5%). The association between different smoking cessation times and high-risk NLR level disappeared after adjusting for the covariables in the regression models. This could be because race plays a significant role in high NLR levels in terms of different smoking cessation times for selected TUR cancer survivors.

Further stratified multivariable regression analyses observed that for NHB smokers, the highest odds of highrisk NLR were observed in the group who quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis, rather than in the current smoker group. Meanwhile, for NHB smokers who quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis, the increased odds of high-risk NLR compared to NHB nonsmoker was not statistically significant (OR 1.55, CI 0.64–3.60, p = 0.28). Although more studies are required to understand why the highest odds of high-risk NLR does not appear among NHB current smoker, setting exactly 5 years as the smoking cessation time allows us to at least identity the smoking cessation time associated NLR risk disparity between NHB and NHW TUR cancer survivors. One source of this disparity could be the increased proportion of female smoker. Considering the overall population, female cancer survivors had lower odds of high-risk NLR in comparison to males, and gender only impacted the risk of high NLR in NHW survivors. Among the NHB survivor population, there were more male current smoker than in the NHW survivor population (22.1% vs. 12.0%, Table 5). The cumulative effects from the covariables of gender⁴¹ and race⁴² could potentially be the reason why a higher risk of increased NLR level was associated with current smoker in only the NHB population.

We found the current smoking rate among all TUR cancer survivors was 21.7%, which was higher than the estimated percentage of current adult cigarette smokers (14.0%) in the general US population⁴³. Using cancer diagnosis time as a reference point to stratify cancer survivors with different smoking statuses, a study found that of the 50.6% of cancer survivors who had ever smoked cigarettes before their cancer diagnosis, 36.1% of them quit smoking after their diagnosis with cancer⁴⁴. We optimized this stratification criterion by considering the exact number of years that individuals quit smoking before a diagnosis with a tobacco use-related cancer. The redefined smoking statuses allowed us to find more cancer survivors (64.5%) who smoked cigarettes before a cancer diagnosis. More importantly, only 29.7% of them quit smoking after the diagnosis of a TUR cancer.

Gender, age, race, education level, and cancer type are all potential factors for individuals to quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis^{44–46}. A study that used a combined NHANES dataset by examining 566 cancer survivors age ≥ 20 found men were 1.83 times more likely to quit smoking than women after a diagnosis of cancer⁴⁴. We found the highest percentage of women in the current smoker group (66.4%) compared to the other smoking status groups. In the general U.S. population, a higher smoking rate is observed for people younger than 44 years of age⁴⁷. We found the mean age of the current smoker group to be 49.6 years old, and the current smoker group contains the highest percentage of cancer survivors who are younger than 45 years old (39.0%), compared with individuals who quit smoking more than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis (4.6%), individuals who quit smoking (9.7%). These features matched the downward trend of cigarette smoking at the national level⁴⁸. Considering the existence of a racial disparity in smoking cessation between NHW and NHB survivors⁴⁹, we observed a slightly increased percentage of NHB survivors (12.0%) in the current smoking group, compared with NHB survivors

Characteristics	NHB	NHW	<i>p</i> value
Mean NLR (± SEM)	2.16 (±0.10)	2.68 (±0.04)	< 0.01 [†]
Mean Age (±SEM), year	61.2 (±0.9)	62.4 (±0.6)	0.27^{\dagger}
Mean YACD (±SEM), year	8.18 (±0.4)	10.9 (±0.4)	< 0.01 [†]
Smoking status by gender			
Male, n (%)			< 0.01 ^b
NS	95 (37.6)	191(33.0)	
FS1	76 (26.6)	235(41.2)	
FS2	16 (6.3)	42 (6.8)	
FS3	19 (7.5)	52 (6.9)	
CS	54 (22.1)	48 (12.0)	
Female, n (%)			0.23 ^b
NS	44 (46.0)	127 (37.0)	
FS1	9 (8.4)	52 (13.8)	
FS2	8 (8.8)	17 (4.8)	
FS3	8 (12.0)	36 (11.8)	
CS	19 (24.9)	115 (32.6)	
Gender, n (%)			< 0.01ª
Total male	260 (64.5)	568 (53.5)	
Total female	88 (35.5)	347 (46.5)	
Age, n (%)			< 0.01 ^a
< 45	25 (12.9)	119 (17.7)	
45-54	30 (15.0)	69 (12.1)	
55-64	94 (25.0)	106 (16.6)	
65-74	110 (25.9)	208 (23.8)	
75-85	89 (21.2)	413 (29.9)	
Cancer types, n (%)			0.91 ^a
RCs	20 (6.8)	58 (6.6)	
NRCs	328 (93.2)	857 (93.4)	

Table 5. NHB survivors had lower NLR levels than NHW survivors. [†]One-way ANOVA test found mean age is distributed differently between low-risk and high-risk NLR groups. ^aRao-Scott Chi-square test. ^bFisher's exact test. *SEM* Standard error of the mean. *NLR* Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. *CD* Chronic diseases. *BMI* Body mass index. *NHW* Non-Hispanic White. *NHB* Non-Hispanic Black. *NS* Nonsmoker. *FS1* Quit smoking 5 or more years before a cancer diagnosis. *FS2* Quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis. *FS3* Quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis. *CS* Continued smoking after a cancer diagnosis. *RCs* Respiratory cancers. *NRCs* Non-respiratory cancers.

who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis (11.2%). Studies show that cancer patients who have a college degree are nearly twice as likely to quit smoking in comparison to individuals with a high school degree or less⁴⁵. We found a similar trend involving a higher percentage of people who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis (22.0%) or continued smoking (24.2%) having less than a high school degree compared with individuals who quit smoking 5 or more years before a diagnosis with cancer (19.5%) and individuals who quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis (11.2%).

Compared to non-respiratory cancer survivors, respiratory cancer survivors could potentially feel more guilt and shame as a result of previous smoking⁵⁰. Such negative emotions would significantly lower their smoking cessation motivation after a cancer diagnosis⁵¹. We found that, among lifetime smokers, respiratory cancer survivors have a lower smoking rate after cancer diagnosis compared to non-respiratory cancer survivors (38.8% vs. 48.8%). The inconsistent findings in this study could potentially be addressed if the corresponding mental health-related data for tobacco use-related cancer survivors becomes available. In addition, more individuals with a higher BMI (\geq 25) were observed in the group that quit smoking after being diagnosed with cancer in comparison to current smoker. This could be because smoking cessation potentially increases food intake by an individual to compensate for the effect of nicotine addiction, which results in individuals who quit smoking having a higher BMI^{52,53}. Cumulative smoking cessation time could also potentially positively affect the BMI of former smokers⁵⁴. Therefore, it is reasonable to observe the highest proportion of individuals with BMI \geq 25 (78.1%) in the cancer survivor group that quit smoking more than 5 years before their cancer diagnosis.

Unfortunately, this study has several limitations. First, NHANES relies on self-reported data and, therefore, bias might be present. For example, the height and weight measurements that are required for computing BMI, and the time of quitting smoking for cancer survivors were all self-reported. Secondly, to get a large enough sample size for this analysis, ten consecutive 2-year survey cycle datasets were combined to reach the necessary statistical power. Such a combination could potentially lead to overcounting the number of participants who were diagnosed with high 5-year survival rate cancers²⁷. Third, according to the cancer type, the NLR level could vary both before and after chemotherapy⁵⁵. NHANES does not provide enough information for us to exclude these individuals. Besides, the survival rates for some cancers are extremely low, for example, pancreatic cancer. Additional bias would be introduced if we removed the cancer survivors who had been diagnosed with cancer no more than 2 years ago. Additionally, reducing the high-risk NLR by smoking cessation might not be applicable to hospitalized cancer patients. Finally, findings from this study are based on cross-sectional survey data, therefore, a causal relationship between smoking cessation and NLR cannot be established.

Regardless of the limitations, this study has three major strengths. It first combined fourteen well-documented TUR cancer types from a national survey dataset and tried to identify the association between smoking status and the risk of high NLR levels. Then, it defined the exact number of years since smoking cessation relative to the time of cancer diagnosis to study the association between different smoking cessation times and the risk of high NLR levels. It also further captured the racial disparity that regulates the high-risk NLR among NHB cancer survivors exclusively. This study not only confirms that NHB cancer survivors who continued smoking cigarettes are at a higher high-risk NLR level¹², but also revealed that smoking cessation time and length are associated with a high risk of high NLR levels for TUR cancer survivors.

Conclusion

Our study found that NHB TUR cancer survivors who quit smoking after a cancer diagnosis were likely to have the highest risk of high NLR levels, which was followed by those who quit smoking less than 5 years before a cancer diagnosis and current smoker when compared with nonsmoker. These findings suggest that risk of a high NLR level is strongly associated with smoking cessation time in NHB TUR cancer survivors. Therefore, NHB TUR cancer survivors should quit smoking as soon as possible because the benefits of quitting smoking were most noticeably observed when survivors had been smoke-free for more than 5 years.

In future studies, identifying potential barriers that prevent older NHW(age 75 years above) from quitting smoking after diagnosis of TUR cancers are strongly recommended. Meanwhile, the smoking cessation intervention programs should pay extra attention to current NHB smokers who undergo the cancer screening programs.

Data availability

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm.

Received: 16 May 2022; Accepted: 4 January 2023 Published online: 16 February 2023

References

- 1. Vainio, H., Weiderpass, E. & Kleihues, P. Smoking cessation in cancer prevention. Toxicology 166(1-2), 47-52 (2001).
- Richardson, G. E. et al. Smoking cessation after successful treatment of small-cell lung cancer is associated with fewer smokingrelated second primary cancers. Ann. Intern. Med. 119(5), 383–390 (1993).
- 3. Health, U. D. o. & Services H. *The health consequences of smoking*—50 Years of progress: A report of the Surgeon General. (US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease, 2014, Atlanta, GA).
- Lim, U. et al. Alcohol, smoking, and body size in relation to incident Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma risk. Am. J. Epidemiol. 166(6), 697–708 (2007).
- 5. Baser, S. *et al.* Smoking cessation after diagnosis of lung cancer is associated with a beneficial effect on performance status. *Chest* **130**(6), 1784–1790 (2006).
- Simonis, K., Shariat, S. F. & Rink, M. Smoking and smoking cessation effects on oncological outcomes in nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. *Curr. Opin. Urol.* 24(5), 492–499 (2014).

- Shiels, M. S. et al. Cigarette smoking prior to first cancer and risk of second smoking-associated cancers among survivors of bladder, kidney, head and neck, and stage I lung cancers. J. Clin. Oncol. 32(35), 3989 (2014).
- Phipps, A. I., Baron, J. & Newcomb, P. A. Prediagnostic smoking history, alcohol consumption, and colorectal cancer survival: The seattle colon cancer family registry. *Cancer* 117(21), 4948–4957 (2011).
- Gummerson, S. P. et al. The characteristics of patients who quit smoking in the year following a cancer diagnosis. J. Cancer Surviv. 16(1), 111–118 (2022).
- 10. Gemine, R. E. *et al.* Longitudinal study to assess impact of smoking at diagnosis and quitting on 1-year survival for people with non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* **129**, 1–7 (2019).
- 11. Komiyama, M. et al. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio is correlated with levels of inflammatory markers and is significantly reduced by smoking cessation. J. Int. Med. Res. 49(6), 03000605211019223 (2021).
- 12. Kao, Y.-H. *et al.* Association between smoking and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio among prostate cancer survivors: The national health and nutrition examination survey. *Transl. Cancer Res.h* **8**, S346 (2019).
- Ślusarczyk, A. et al. Prediction of BCG responses in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer in the era of novel immunotherapeutics. Int. Urol. Nephrol. 51(7), 1089–1099 (2019).
- Hsieh, M. M. et al. Prevalence of neutropenia in the US population: Age, sex, smoking status, and ethnic differences. Ann. Intern. Med. 146(7), 486–492 (2007).
- 15. Keller, M. F. et al. Trans-ethnic meta-analysis of white blood cell phenotypes. Hum. Mol. Genet. 23(25), 6944-6960 (2014).
- Azab, B., Camacho-Rivera, M. & Taioli, E. Average values and racial differences of neutrophil lymphocyte ratio among a nationally representative sample of United States subjects. *PLoS ONE* 9(11), e112361 (2014).
- 17. Isaac, V. *et al.* Elevated neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio predicts mortality in medical inpatients with multiple chronic conditions. *Medicine* **95**(23), e3832 (2016).
- Burris, J. L. et al. Tobacco use and tobacco treatment referral response of patients with cancer: Implementation outcomes at a national cancer institute-designated cancer center. JCO Oncol. Pract. 18(2), e261–e270 (2022).
- Duffy, S. A., Louzon, S. A. & Gritz, E. R. Why do cancer patients smoke and what can providers do about it?. Commun. Oncol. 9(11), 344 (2012).
- Wells, M. et al. Barriers and facilitators to smoking cessation in a cancer context: A qualitative study of patient, family and professional views. BMC Cancer 17(1), 1–15 (2017).
- Paul, C. L. et al. Continued smoking after a cancer diagnosis: A longitudinal study of intentions and attempts to quit. J. Cancer Surviv. 13(5), 687–694 (2019).
- 22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. in *National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey*. Available online at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm (Accessed Dec 20, 2021).
- 23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. in *National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey*. NCHS Researc hEthhics Review BOard (ERB) Approval Available online at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.irba98.htm (accessed December 20, 2021).
- 24. Lu, Y. *et al.* Insufficient lycopene intake is associated with high risk of prostate cancer: a cross-sectional study from the national health and nutrition examination survey (2003–2010). *Front. Public Health* **9** (2021).
- Grieshober, L. et al. Pre-diagnosis neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and mortality in individuals who develop lung cancer. Cancer Causes Control 32(11), 1227–1236 (2021).
- De Giorgi, U. *et al.* Association of systemic inflammation index and body mass index with survival in patients with renal cell cancer treated with nivolumab. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25(13), 3839–3846 (2019).
- Center for Disease Control Prevention. in *Continuous NHANES*. Available online at: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/conti nuousnhanes/default.aspx (accessed December 20, 2021).
- Rashtak, S. et al. Peripheral neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio improves prognostication in colon cancer. Clin. Colorectal Cancer 16(2), 115-123.e3 (2017).
- 29. Lumley, T. Analysis of complex survey samples. J. Stat. Softw. 9(1), 1-19 (2004).
- Mei, Z. et al. Prognostic role of pretreatment blood neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in advanced cancer survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 66 cohort studies. Cancer Treat. Rev. 58, 1–13 (2017).
- Feliciano, E. M. C. et al. Association of systemic inflammation and sarcopenia with survival in nonmetastatic colorectal cancer: Results from the C SCANS study. JAMA Oncol. 3(12), e172319–e172319 (2017).
- 32. Tulgar, Y. et al. The effect of smoking on neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratio and platelet indices: A retrospective study. Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci. 20(14), 3112–3118 (2016).
- Fest, J. et al. Reference values for white blood-cell-based inflammatory markers in the Rotterdam study: A population-based prospective cohort study. Sci. Rep. 8(1), 1–7 (2018).
- Kawahara, T. et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio is a prognostic marker in bladder cancer patients after radical cystectomy. BMC Cancer 16(1), 1–8 (2016).
- 35. Xue, T.-C. *et al.* Prognostic significance of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in primary liver cancer: A meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **9**(5), e96072 (2014).
- Franz, L. et al. Prognostic impact of neutrophils-to-lymphocytes ratio (NLR), PD-L1 expression, and tumor immune microenvironment in laryngeal cancer. Ann. Diagn. Pathol. 50, 151657 (2021).
- 37. Han, F. *et al.* Diagnosis and survival values of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and red blood cell distribution width (RDW) in esophageal cancer. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **488**, 150–158 (2019).
- Forget, P. et al. Neutrophil: lymphocyte ratio and intraoperative use of ketorolac or diclofenac are prognostic factors in different cohorts of patients undergoing breast, lung, and kidney cancer surgery. Ann. Surg. Oncol. 20(3), 650–660 (2013).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Specifying weighting parameters. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/tutor ials/nhanes/surveydesign/weighting/intro.htm (accessed December 20, 2021).
- 40. Chen, Y. *et al.* Association between neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and all-cause mortality and cause-specific mortality in US Adults, 1999–2014. *Int. J. Gen. Med.* 14, 10203 (2021).
- Fang, T., et al., Diagnostic sensitivity of NLR and PLR in early diagnosis of gastric cancer. Journal of Immunology Research, 2020 (2020).
- 42. Howard, R. *et al.* Sociodemographic and lifestyle factors associated with the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. *Ann. Epidemiol.* **38**, 11-21 e6 (2019).
- 43. Cornelius, M. E. et al. Tobacco product use among adults-United States, 2019. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 69(46), 1736 (2020).
- Tseng, T.-S. *et al.* Who tended to continue smoking after cancer diagnosis: The national health and nutrition examination survey 1999–2008. *BMC Public Health* 12(1), 1–9 (2012).
- 45. Gummerson, S.P. et al. The characteristics of patients who quit smoking in the year following a cancer diagnosis. J. Cancer Surviv. 1–8 (2021).
- Ramaswamy, A. T. et al. Smoking, cessation, and cessation counseling in patients with cancer: A population-based analysis. Cancer 122(8), 1247–1253 (2016).
- Control, C.f.D. and Prevention, Cigarette smoking among adults and trends in smoking cessation-United States, 2008. MMWR. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 58(44), 1227–1232 (2009).
- 48. Mayer, D. K. & Carlson, J. Smoking patterns in cancer survivors. Nicotine Tob. Res. 13(1), 34-40 (2011).
- 49. Park, E. R. et al. Disparities between blacks and whites in tobacco and lung cancer treatment. Oncologist 16(10), 1428-1434 (2011).

- 50. LoConte, N. K. *et al.* Assessment of guilt and shame in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer compared with patients with breast and prostate cancer. *Clin. Lung Cancer* **9**(3), 171–178 (2008).
- Kim, J., Cao, X. & Meczkowski, E. Does stigmatization motivate people to quit smoking? Examining the effect of stigmatizing anti-smoking campaigns on cessation intention. *Health Commun.* 33(6), 681–689 (2018).
- Audrain-McGovern, J. & Benowitz, N. Cigarette smoking, nicotine, and body weight. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 90(1), 164–168 (2011).
 Courtemanche, C., Tchernis, R. & Ukert, B. The effect of smoking on obesity: Evidence from a randomized trial. *J. Health Econ.* 57, 31–44 (2018).
- Flegal, K. M. *et al.* The influence of smoking cessation on the prevalence of overweight in the United States. N. Engl. J. Med. 333(18), 1165–1170 (1995).
- Wang, F. et al. Changes in neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratios after chemotherapy correlate with chemotherapy response and prediction of prognosis in patients with unresectable gastric cancer. Oncol. Lett. 10(6), 3411–3418 (2015).

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Y.L. contributed to the conception, design, and database organization of the study. Y.L. and Z.C. performed the statistical analysis. K.K., J.W., and A.E. cross-validated this study. T.-S.T. and K.Z. supervised this study. All authors contributed to manuscript writing.

Funding

This work was partly supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant U54MD007595. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.-S.T. or K.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023